arXiv:1611.04271v1 [math.CV] 14 Nov 2016

LARGE DEVIATION THEOREM FOR ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS AND HERMITIAN RANDOM MATRICES

TIEN-CUONG DINH

ABSTRACT. We give abstract versions of the large deviation theorem for the distribution of zeros of polynomials and apply them to the characteristic polynomials of Hermitian random matrices. We obtain new estimates related to the local semi-circular law for the empirical spectral distribution of these matrices when the 4th moments of their entries are controlled.

Classification AMS 2010 : 12B52, 60B20.

Keywords : logarithmic potential, large deviation theorem, Hermitian random matrix, semi-circular law.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let μ_0 be a given probability measure whose support is contained in a smooth compact non-closed curve K in $\mathbb{C}$, that is, K is parametrised by an $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ injective map from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{C}$ with non-vanishing derivative. Denote by u_0 its logarithmic potential which is defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u_0(z) := \int_{a\in\mathbb{C}} \log|z-a| d\mu_0(a).$$

We assume that the function u_0 is Hölder continuous on K. This property is satisfied when $\mu_0(I) \leq c|I|^\alpha$ for all arcs I in K of length $|I|$, and for some constants $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let $\mathscr{P}_0^n$ denote the set of all monic complex polynomials of one variable and degree n. Consider, for each $n \geq 1$, a probability measure on $\mathscr{P}_0^n$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a sufficient condition so that the zeros of generic polynomials $Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n$ are equidistributed with respect to the measure μ_0, as n tends to infinity. We will give some estimates which are similar to the classical large deviation theorem in the theory of probability. The genericity of polynomials is with respect to the given probability measures on $\mathscr{P}_0^n$. We then apply the result to the characteristic polynomials of Hermitian random matrices and obtain new estimates for the distribution of the eigenvalues of these matrices. In this case, the probability measure μ_0 will be the so-called semi-circular distribution, that we will denote by $\mu_{\rm sc}$.

If Q is a polynomial of degree n and $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are its zeros, define

$$\mu_Q := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{a_k},$$

where δ_{a_k} is the Dirac mass at the point a_k. This is the probability measure equidistributed on the zero set of Q. Here is our first main theorem with a quite simple hypothesis.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ_0 be a given probability measure whose support is contained in a smooth compact non-closed curve K in $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by u_0 its logarithmic potential and assume that u_0*

is Hölder continuous on K. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant. Consider a probability measure on the set $\mathscr{P}_0^n$ of all monic polynomials of degree n and a constant $c_n \geq 1$ such that the expectation of $|Q(z)|^\alpha$ for $Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(|Q(z)|^\alpha) \leq c_n e^{\alpha n u_0(z)} \quad \textit{for all} \quad z \in K.$$

Then we have the following estimate of probability for every $\delta > 0$

$$\mathrm{Prob}\Big\{Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n, \quad \mathrm{dist}(\mu_Q, \mu_0) \geq \delta\Big\} \leq A n^A c_n e^{-A^{-1}\delta n},$$

where $A = A(K, \mu_0, \alpha) > 0$ is a constant independent of n, c_n and δ.

The distances $\mathrm{dist}(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{dist}_\gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ between probability measures in $\mathbb{C}$ will be introduced in Section 2. For instance, when $\mathrm{dist}(\mu_Q, \mu_0) \leq \delta$, we have $\mathrm{dist}_\gamma(\mu_Q, \mu_0) \leq c_\gamma \delta^{\gamma/2}$ for $0 < \gamma \leq 2$, where $c_\gamma > 0$ is a constant, see Proposition 2.1 below. The distance $\mathrm{dist}_1(\cdot,\cdot)$ is equivalent to the well-known Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance. We can deduce from such inequalities estimates of the number of zeros of Q in an open set, see Propositions 2.4 and 2.7 below for details.

Note that in the theorem we can choose the number δ depending on n and the statement is only interesting when $\log c_n = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. We will see later that the case where c_n is a polynomial in n is already interesting for applications in random matrices theory. The above theorem is easier to use when α is an even integer because in this case $|Q(z)|^\alpha$ is a polynomial in z and $\overline{z}$.

Note also that in the above theorem the zeros of the polynomial Q do not necessarily lie in the curve K. Under some extra conditions, we have a more precise estimate of the number of zeros of Q as shown in the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mu_0, K, u_0, c_n, \alpha$ and the probability measure on $\mathscr{P}_0^n$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Let l be a smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}$, containing K, which is a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Let L be a closed subset of l such that in a neighborhood of L, μ_0 has a bounded density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on l. Assume that the zeros of almost every polynomial $Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n$ lie in l. For $\delta > 0$, denote by $\mathscr{F}_n(\delta)$ the set of polynomials $Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n$ such that $|\mu_Q(I) - \mu_0(I)| \geq \sqrt{\delta}$ for at least one arc $I \subset L$. Then we have the following estimate of probability*

$$\mathrm{Prob}(Q \in \mathscr{F}_n(\delta)) \leq A n^A c_n e^{-A^{-1}\delta n} \textit{ for every } \delta > 0,$$

where $A = A(K, l, L, \mu_0, \alpha) > 0$ is a constant independent of n, c_n and δ.

Note that $n\mu_Q(I)$ is the number of zeros of Q in the interval I. As above, the number δ can depend in n and our estimate is uniform on I. In the case of Hermitian random matrices, we will choose $l = L = \mathbb{R}$, the real line in $\mathbb{C}$, since the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are always real. We also choose $K = [-2, 2]$, the support of the semi-circle distribution μ_{sc} and $\alpha = 2$. The distribution μ_{sc} is the probability measure supported by $[-2, 2]$ with the density function

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{(4 - x^2)_+},$$

where $(4 - x^2)_+ := \max(4 - x^2, 0)$. Its logarithmic potential is given in Lemma 3.1 below. In order to get precise statements, we need to introduce some notations.

Let $M_n = (\xi_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix such that the entries ξ_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, are independent random variables. So the diagonal entries ξ_{ii} are real-valued, the off-diagonal ones ξ_{ij} are complex-valued and we have $\xi_{ij} = \overline{\xi}_{ji}$. We will assume that

(1) ξ_{ii} are of mean 0 and variance bounded by a constant $\alpha \geq 0$;
(2) ξ_{ij}, with $i \neq j$, are of mean 0, variance 1, and their fourth moments $\mathbb{E}|\xi_{ij}|^4$ are bounded by a constant $\beta > 0$.

Define $W_n := n^{-1/2} M_n$. Such a random matrix is called *Wigner Hermitian matrix*. The *empirical spectral distribution (ESD)* of W_n is the probability measure

$$\mu_{W_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_p},$$

where λ_p's denote the eigenvalues of W_n. We consider it as a probability measure on $\mathbb{C}$ but it is supported by $\mathbb{R}$ since W_n is Hermitian. It is well-known that when α and β are fixed or not too big, μ_{W_n} converges to $\mu_{\rm sc}$, almost surely, as n goes to infinity, see [1, 2, 15, 25] for more general statements. Here, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *With the above notations and hypotheses, there is a universal constant $A > 0$ satisfying the following property. For every $\delta > 0$, there is a set $\mathscr{E}_n(\delta)$ of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices satisfying the following estimate of probability*

$$\mathrm{Prob}(M_n \in \mathscr{E}_n(\delta)) \leq A n^A e^{\alpha+\beta/2} e^{-A^{-1}\delta n}$$

and such that if $M_n \notin \mathscr{E}_n(\delta)$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval then

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mu_{W_n}, \mu_{\rm sc}) \leq \delta \qquad \textit{and} \qquad |\mu_{W_n}(I) - \mu_{\rm sc}(I)| \leq \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Note that our result only requires a control of the first four moments of the entries of M_n. When I is outside $[-2, 2]$ or is close to ± 2 we can improve the last estimate, see Propositions 2.7 and 2.10 below. Note also that the estimates are only significant when $\delta \gg (\log n) n^{-1}$ and the length of I is larger than $\sqrt{\delta}$.

It is known under stronger hypotheses on the entries of M_n that the smallest size of I for which one has a significant estimate on $\mu(I)$ is n^{-1} up to a logarithmic factor. Many deep works have been done in this direction. We refer the reader to the papers by Erdös, Guionnet, Knowles, Péché, Ramírez, Schlein, Tao, Vu, Yau, Yin, Zeitouni [7]-[13], [18]-[22] and the references therein for details.

As mentioned above, the almost sure converge to the semi-circular distribution requires a weaker condition on the moments of the entries of M_n, see Chapter 2 in Bai-Silverstein [2]. Theorem 1.3 above gives an alternative for the last step of the proof in this book.

We will give now an application of the last theorem to the case of matrices associated to random graphs. Consider a non-oriented graph with n vertices. Its adjacency matrix is a square $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are 0 and non-diagonal entry of indexes (i, j) is 1 if there is an edge joining the vertices i, j and 0 otherwise. Let $0 \leq p \leq 1$ be a real number. Recall that *the Erdös-Rényi random graph* $G(n, p)$ is a graph with n vertices obtained by drawing randomly and independently edges between each pair of vertices with probability p. We will assume that $p \leq 1/2$ because the case $p \geq 1/2$ can be reduced to the first case by considering the complementary graph.

Denote by A_n the adjacency matrix of $G(n, p)$. It is known that when $np \to \infty$, the empirical spectral distribution of $W_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} A_n$ converges in distribution to the semi-circle distribution, where $\sigma := \sqrt{p(1-p)}$, see [23]. The condition $np \to \infty$ is necessary. Some explicit estimates on the rate of convergence and the probability to have good rate

of convergence were obtained by Tran-Vu-Wang [23] and Erdös-Knowles-Yau-Yin in [6]. The following corollary is complementary to their results.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $G(n,p), A_n, \sigma$ and W_n be as above with $np \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let μ_{W_n} be the empirical spectral distribution of W_n. Then there is a universal constant $A > 0$ satisfying the following property. For every $\delta > 0$, there is a set $\mathscr{G}_n$ of graphs such that the probability that a graph in the model $G(n,p)$ belongs to $\mathscr{G}_n$ is at most equal to $An^A e^{1/p} e^{-A^{-1}\delta n}$, and if W_n is associated to a graph outside $\mathscr{G}_n$ then*

$$|\mu_{W_n}(I) - \mu_{\rm sc}(I)| \leq \sqrt{\delta}$$

for every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Note that as above, δ may depend on n and when I is outside the interval $[-2,2]$ or is close to the endpoints ± 2, we can improve the last estimate in the corollary.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will present some basic properties of positive measures and their logarithmic potentials. We also give there the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 will be obtained as consequences. The proofs of these results will be given in Section 3.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the Start-Up Grant R-146-000-204-133 from National University of Singapore. It was partially written during my visit at Paris 11 University. I would like to thank Viet-Anh Nguyen and Nessim Sibony for their hospitality and help.

2. Large deviation theorem for zeros of polynomials

In this section, we will give some basic properties of probability measures on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, the distances between them and their logarithmic potentials. We refer the reader to [14, 24] for the complex potential theory. We then give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Recall that the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the natural compactification of $\mathbb{C}$ by adding a point ∞ at infinity. We will use the standard Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{P}^1$ given by the Fubini-Study form $\omega_{\rm FS} := dd^c \log(1+|z|^2)^{1/2}$, where z denotes the standard complex coordinate in $\mathbb{C}$ and $dd^c := \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}$. This differential form extends to a smooth form on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $\mathscr{M}_c(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of all probability measures with compact support in $\mathbb{C}$. For each $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_c(\mathbb{C})$, there is a unique subharmonic function $u : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that

$$dd^c u = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z\to\infty} u(z) - \log|z| = 0.$$

The first identity is understood in the sense of currents or distributions. If u is of class $\mathscr{C}^2$ on $\mathbb{C}$, then $dd^c u = \Delta u \frac{i}{4\pi} dz \wedge d\overline{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta u dx \wedge dy$ which is identified to a measure with density $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta u$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Here, we write $z = x + iy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The function u is called *the logarithmic potential* of μ. It is not difficult to see that u is given by the formula

$$u(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z-a| d\mu(a).$$

Let μ, μ' be two probability measures in $\mathscr{M}_c(\mathbb{C})$ and u, u' their logarithmic potentials. Define

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mu,\mu') := \|u - u'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{P}^1)} := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} |u-u'|\omega_{\rm FS}.$$

It is not difficult to check that $\mathrm{dist}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a distance on $\mathscr{M}_c(\mathbb{C})$. For every $\gamma > 0$, define

$$\mathrm{dist}_\gamma(\mu,\mu') := \sup\Big\{|\langle \mu-\mu', \phi\rangle|,\ \phi \text{ is a } \mathscr{C}^\gamma \text{ function on } \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^\gamma} \leq 1\Big\},$$

where the pairing $\langle \mu-\mu', \phi\rangle$ denotes the integral of ϕ with respect to the measure $\mu-\mu'$. Recall from [5] that for $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma'$, there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on γ and γ' such that

$$\mathrm{dist}_{\gamma'} \leq \mathrm{dist}_\gamma \leq c[\mathrm{dist}_{\gamma'}]^{\gamma/\gamma'}.$$

The function dist_γ is also a distance on $\mathscr{M}_c(\mathbb{C})$. Note that dist_1 is equivalent to the Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For every $0 < \gamma \leq 2$, there is a constant $c_\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{dist}_\gamma \leq c_\gamma\, \mathrm{dist}^{\gamma/2}.$$

Proof. Using the above comparison between dist_γ and $\mathrm{dist}_{\gamma'}$, we reduce the problem to the case where $\gamma = 2$. Let ϕ be any $\mathscr{C}^2$ function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \leq 1$. With the notations as above, using Stokes formula on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we have

$$|\langle \mu-\mu', \phi\rangle| = |\langle dd^c(u-u'), \phi\rangle| = |\langle u-u', dd^c\phi\rangle|.$$

Since $\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \leq 1$, we can write $dd^c\phi = \omega^+ - \omega^-$ where $\omega^\pm$ are positive $(1,1)$-forms on $\mathbb{P}^1$, bounded by a positive constant c times ω_{FS}. We then deduce from the last identities that

$$|\langle \mu-\mu', \phi\rangle| \leq 2c\|u-u'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{P}^1)} = 2c\,\mathrm{dist}(\mu,\mu').$$

The proposition follows. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let μ_0 be a probability measure whose support is contained in a compact smooth non-closed curve K in $\mathbb{C}$. Let u_0 be the logarithmic potential of μ_0. Assume that u_0 is continuous. There is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on K and μ_0 satisfying the following property. If μ is a probability measure with compact support in $\mathbb{C}$ and u is its logarithmic potential, then*

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mu,\mu_0) \leq c \sup_K (u-u_0) = c \sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} (u-u_0).$$

In particular, for every $0 < \gamma \leq 2$, there is a constant $c_\gamma > 0$ depending only on K, μ_0 and γ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}_\gamma(\mu,\mu_0) \leq c_\gamma \sup_K (u-u_0)^{\gamma/2} = c_\gamma \sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} (u-u_0)^{\gamma/2}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we only need to prove the first assertion. Define

$$\delta := \sup_K (u-u_0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad v := u - u_0 - \delta.$$

Recall that since μ_0 is supported by K, the function u_0 is harmonic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus K$. So v is negative on K and subharmonic on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$ with $v(\infty) = -\delta$. By maximum principle, we deduce that v is negative on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and therefore

$$\sup_K (u-u_0) = \sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} (u-u_0) = \delta.$$

We also have

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mu,\mu_0) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} |u-u_0|\omega_{\mathrm{FS}} \leq \Big|\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \big(\delta + |\delta - (u-u_0)|\big)\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\Big| = \delta - \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} v\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}.$$

Hence, we only need to bound the last integral from below.

Consider the conformal bijective map $\pi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$ such that $\pi(0) = \infty$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. This map is Lipschitz up to the boundary, see [16, Th.3.9]. Therefore, the form $\pi^*(\omega_{\rm FS})$ is bounded on $\mathbb{D}$. Define $\hat{v} := v \circ \pi$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} v\omega_{\rm FS} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \hat{v}\pi^*(\omega_{\rm FS}) \geq c \int_{\mathbb{D}} \hat{v} d\,{\rm Leb},$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant and ${\rm Leb}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Now, since $\hat{v}$ is a subharmonic function with $\hat{v}(0) = v(\infty) = -\delta$, the submean inequality implies that the last integral is bounded from below by $-\pi\delta$. The proposition follows. □

If I is a subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, denote by I_ϵ the open set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that ${\rm dist}(z, I) < \epsilon$. We have the following results.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2, define* $\epsilon := \sqrt{\sup_K(u - u_0)}$. *Then, if* $\epsilon \leq 1$ *we have*

$$\int_{K_\epsilon} |u(z) - u_0(z)| d\,{\rm Leb}(z) \leq c\epsilon^3,$$

where $c > 0$ *is a constant depending only on* μ_0 *and* K.

Proof. Observe that $u - u_0$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$ and vanishes at ∞. We have seen in Proposition 2.2 that $u \leq u_0 + \epsilon^2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. So u belongs to a compact family of subharmonic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. Here, the compactness is with respect to the L^1_{loc} topology. Therefore, in order to prove the lemma, we only need to consider ϵ small enough.

Choose a smooth compact closed curve K' containing K. Let Ω be the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K'$ and define $K^+_\epsilon := K_\epsilon \cap \Omega$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega \cup \{\infty\}$ be a bijective conformal map such that $\pi(0) = \infty$. By Kellogg-Warschawski theorem, this map is smooth up to the boundary, see [16, Th.3.6]. Then, there is a constant $c' > 0$ such that $\pi^{-1}(K^+_\epsilon)$ is contained in the annulus $A_\epsilon := \{1 - c'\epsilon \leq |z| \leq 1\}$. It follows that there is a constant $c'' > 0$ such that if we define $v := u - u_0 - \epsilon^2$ and $\tilde{v} := v \circ \pi$ then using that $v \leq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{K^+_\epsilon} |u(z) - u_0(z)| d\,{\rm Leb}(z) &= \int_{K^+_\epsilon} |\epsilon^2 + v(z)| d\,{\rm Leb}(z) \leq \int_{K^+_\epsilon} (\epsilon^2 - v(z)) d\,{\rm Leb}(z) \\ &\leq c''\epsilon^3 - c'' \int_{A_\epsilon} \tilde{v}(z) d\,{\rm Leb}(z).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the function $\tilde{v}$ is subharmonic, its average in each circle of center 0 is larger than or equal to $\tilde{v}(0) = -\epsilon^2$. So the last integral is bounded from below by a negative constant times ϵ^3. It follows that

$$\int_{K^+_\epsilon} |u(z) - u_0(z)| d\,{\rm Leb}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} c\epsilon^3,$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

To complete the proof, we need a similar estimate for $K^-_\epsilon := K_\epsilon \setminus K^+_\epsilon$. Choose another smooth closed curve K'' equal to K' in a neighborhood of K such that K^-_ϵ is exterior to K''. Arguing as above, we obtain the desired estimate for K^-_ϵ. □

Recall that we don't assume that μ is supported by K.

Proposition 2.4. *Let K, μ_0, u_0, μ, u be as in Proposition 2.2. Define $\epsilon := \sqrt{\sup_K(u-u_0)}$. Then there is a constant $c>0$ depending only on K and μ_0 such that for any arc $I \subset K$ we have*

$$\mu_0(I) - c\epsilon \leq \mu(I_\epsilon) \leq \mu_0(I_{2\epsilon} \cap K) + c\epsilon.$$

In particular, we have $\mu(\mathbb{C} \setminus K_\epsilon) \leq c\epsilon$.

Proof. Since μ and μ_0 are probability measures and $\mu_0(K)=1$, the second assertion is a direct consequence of the first inequality applied to K instead of I. We prove now the first assertion. Observe that we only need to consider ϵ small enough. We have seen in Proposition 2.2 that $u \leq u_0 + \epsilon^2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore, if L is a smooth compact curve containing K, we have $\sup_L(u-u_0) = \epsilon^2$. Replacing K by a larger curve allows us to assume that the endpoints of K are outside $I_{2\epsilon}$.

Let $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ be a smooth cut-off function equal to 1 on I_ϵ and to 0 outside $I_{2\epsilon}$. We can choose χ so that $\|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}$ is bounded by a constant times ϵ^{-2}. We have

$$\mu(I_\epsilon) \leq \langle \mu, \chi\rangle = \langle \mu_0, \chi\rangle + \langle \mu - \mu_0, \chi\rangle \leq \mu_0(I_{2\epsilon}\cap K) + \langle \mu-\mu_0, \chi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, by Stokes formula and using that χ has support in $K_{2\epsilon}$, we have

$$\langle \mu-\mu_0, \chi\rangle = \langle dd^c(u-u_0), \chi\rangle = \langle u-u_0, dd^c\chi\rangle \leq \|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \int_{K_{2\epsilon}} |u-u_0| d\,\mathrm{Leb}\,.$$

The estimate on the $\mathscr{C}^2$ norm of χ and Lemma 2.3 imply that $\langle \mu-\mu_0, \chi\rangle = O(\epsilon)$. It follows that

$$\mu(I_\epsilon) \leq \mu_0(I_{2\epsilon}\cap K) + c\epsilon$$

for some constant $c>0$.

To complete the proof of the first assertion, we need to check that $\mu(I_\epsilon) \geq \mu_0(I) - c\epsilon$ for some constant $c>0$. Let $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$ be a cut-off function equal to 1 on I and 0 outside I_ϵ. We can choose such a function with $\mathscr{C}^2$ norm bounded by a constant times ϵ^{-2}. As above, we obtain

$$\mu(I_\epsilon) \geq \langle \mu, \chi'\rangle \geq \mu_0(I) - \|\chi'\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \int_{K_\epsilon} |u-u_0| d\,\mathrm{Leb} = \mu_0(I) - O(\epsilon).$$

The proposition follows. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $K, \mu_0, u_0, \mu, u, \epsilon$ be as in Proposition 2.4. Let l be a smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}$ containing K which is a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Let L be a closed subset of l such that in an open neighborhood of L, μ_0 has bounded density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on l. Let U be an open neighborhood of K and assume that the support of μ is contained in $l \cup (\mathbb{C}\setminus U)$. Then for any arc $I \subset L$ we have*

$$|\mu(I) - \mu_0(I)| \leq c\epsilon,$$

where $c = c(K, U, \mu_0, l, L) > 0$ is a constant independent of μ, I and ϵ.

Proof. We only need to consider small ϵ. Choose an open neighborhood V of K such that $V \Subset U$ and the intersection $K' := l \cap \overline{V}$ is connected. By the last assertion of Proposition 2.4 or Proposition 2.7 below, we can replace I by $I \cap \overline{V}$ in order to assume that $I \subset K' \cap L$.

We have seen in Proposition 2.4 that $\epsilon = \sqrt{\max_{K'}(u - u_0)}$. So we can apply Proposition 2.4 to K' instead of K. Using that the density of μ_0 is bounded in a neighborhood of L (which contains $I_{2\epsilon}$ because ϵ is small), we have

$$\mu(I) \leq \mu(I_\epsilon) \leq \mu_0(I_{2\epsilon} \cap K') + O(\epsilon) = \mu_0(I) + O(\epsilon).$$

It remains to check that $\mu(I) \geq \mu_0(I) - O(\epsilon)$. Observe that it is enough to consider the case where the length of I is at least 3ϵ because otherwise $\mu(I) \geq 0 \geq \mu_0(I) - O(\epsilon)$.

If a and b are the endpoints of I, denote by I' the set of points $z \in I$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(z, a) \geq \epsilon$ and $\operatorname{dist}(z, b) \geq \epsilon$. Applying Proposition 2.4 to I' and using that μ has support in $l \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus U)$, we get

$$\mu(I) = \mu(I'_\epsilon) \geq \mu_0(I') - O(\epsilon) = \mu_0(I) - O(\epsilon).$$

The corollary follows. □

Remark 2.6. Note that when μ_0 is not of bounded density, we can work with suitable neighborhood of I instead of using I_ϵ, in order to get better estimates. The choice of this neighborhood depends on the measure μ_0. Similarly, we can also improve the estimates on the arcs where the density of μ_0 is small, as shown in Proposition 2.10 below.

The following result is complementary to the last estimate in Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.7. *Let K, μ_0, u_0, μ, u be as in Proposition 2.4. Let U be a neighborhood of K. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on K, μ_0 and U such that*

$$\mu(\mathbb{C} \setminus U) \leq c \sup_K (u - u_0).$$

Proof. Fix a smooth cut-off function $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ with compact support in U which is equal to 1 on K. We have

$$\mu(U) \geq \langle \mu, \chi \rangle = \langle \mu_0, \chi \rangle + \langle \mu - \mu_0, \chi \rangle = 1 + \langle u - u_0, dd^c \chi \rangle.$$

By the first inequality in Proposition 2.2, the last integral is larger than a negative constant times $\sup_K(u - u_0)$. We then obtain the result using that $\mu(\mathbb{C} \setminus U) = 1 - \mu(U)$ because μ is a probability measure. □

In order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we will need the following version of Markov brother's inequality, due to Szegö, see also [17, p.567]. Note that instead of this inequality we can also use a version of Bernstein-Markov inequality to get a slightly weaker estimate but valid in a more general setting, see [4, Cor. 3.13] for details.

Lemma 2.8. *Let K be the graph in $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, of a $\mathscr{C}^2$ real-valued function defined on $[0, 1]$ whose $\mathscr{C}^2$ norm is bounded by a fixed constant M. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on M such that if Q is any polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\max_K |Q'| \leq cn^2 \max_K |Q|.$$

Proof. We give here the proof for the reader's convenience, see [17, p.567]. Multiplying Q by a constant allows us to assume that $\max_K |Q| = 1$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K \to \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be a conformal bijective map such that $\phi(\infty) = \infty$. So $Q(z)\phi(z)^{-n}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$ and has modulus bounded by 1 when $z \to K$. By maximum modulus principle, we have $|Q(z)||\phi(z)|^{-n} \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$ and hence $|Q(z)| \leq |\phi(z)|^n$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K$. Observe that there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $|\phi(z)| - 1 \leq \gamma \operatorname{dist}(z, K)^{1/2}$ for z is a fixed large compact

subset of $\mathbb{C}$, see [16, Th.3.9]. We then deduce from Cauchy's type formula that for every $z_0 \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} |Q'(z_0)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big|\int_{|z-z_0|=\gamma^{-2}n^{-2}} \frac{Q(z)dz}{(z-z_0)^2}\Big| \le \gamma^2 n^2 \max_{|z-z_0|=\gamma^{-2}n^{-2}} |Q(z)| \\ &\le \gamma^2 n^2 \max_{|z-z_0|=\gamma^{-2}n^{-2}} |\phi(z)|^n. \end{aligned}$$

For z as in the last line, we have

$$|\phi(z)|^n \le (1+\gamma\,\mathrm{dist}(z,K)^{1/2})^n \le (1+\gamma|z-z_0|^{1/2})^n = (1+n^{-1})^n \le e.$$

The result follows. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let K be a compact smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}$ and ϕ a real-valued Hölder continuous function on K. There is a constant $A > 0$ satisfying the following property. If Q is any polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$ and if $\Sigma \subset K$ is an arbitrary subset such that $\mathrm{dist}(z,\Sigma) \le A^{-1}n^{-A}$ for every $z \in K$, then we have*

$$\max_{z\in K} |Q(z)|e^{n\phi(z)} \le 2 \max_{z\in\Sigma} |Q(z)|e^{n\phi(z)}.$$

Proof. Fix a constant $A > 2$ large enough so that if $z, z' \in K$ and $|z-z'| \le A^{-1/3}n^{-A+2}$, we have $|\phi(z)-\phi(z')| \le 1/(4n)$. Choose $a \in K$ such that

$$|Q(a)|e^{n\phi(a)} = \max_{z\in K} |Q(z)|e^{n\phi(z)}.$$

Choose a compact arc $L \subset K$ of diameter $A^{-1/3}n^{-A+2}$ containing a.

The above inequality on ϕ implies that for $z \in L$

$$|\phi(z)-\phi(a)| \le \frac{1}{4n} \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad |Q(z)|e^{n\phi(z)} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|Q(z)|e^{n\phi(a)}.$$

So we only need to check that

$$|Q(a)| \le \sqrt{2} \max_{z\in\Sigma\cap L} |Q(z)|.$$

Denote by $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ the images of L and Σ by a suitable affine map $\pi(z) = \alpha z + \beta$ so that $\tilde{L}$ is the graph over $[0,1]$ of a function with bounded $\mathscr{C}^1$ norm and vanishing at 0 and 1. We have $|\alpha| = A^{1/3}n^{A-2}$. Define also $\tilde{Q}(z) := Q(\pi^{-1}(z))$. It is enough to check that

$$\max_{z\in\tilde{L}} |\tilde{Q}(z)| \le \sqrt{2} \max_{z\in\tilde{\Sigma}\cap\tilde{L}} |\tilde{Q}(z)|.$$

Let $b \in \tilde{L}$ such that $|\tilde{Q}(z)|$, $z \in \tilde{L}$, archives its maximum at b. By hypothesis on Σ, there is a point $b' \in \tilde{\Sigma}\cap\tilde{L}$ such that the arc in $\tilde{L}$ joining b and b' has length smaller than $A^{-1/2}n^{-2}$. Denote by $\gamma(b,b')$ this length. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\max_{z\in\tilde{L}} |\tilde{Q}'(z)| \le cn^2 \max_{z\in\tilde{L}} |\tilde{Q}(z)| = cn^2|\tilde{Q}(b)|.$$

Since A is large enough, we easily deduce that

$$|\tilde{Q}(b)| \le |\tilde{Q}(b')| + cn^2|\tilde{Q}(b)|\gamma(b,b') \le |\tilde{Q}(b')| + (1-1/\sqrt{2})|\tilde{Q}(b)|.$$

Hence, $|\tilde{Q}(b)| \le \sqrt{2}|\tilde{Q}(b')|$. The lemma follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix a constant $A' > 0$ large enough. Let Σ be a set of about $A'n^{A'}$ points equidistributed on K. Consider the set $\mathscr{F}$ of polynomials $Q \in \mathscr{P}_0^n$ such that

$$|Q(z)|^\alpha \geq 2^{-\alpha} e^{A'^{-1}\alpha n\delta} e^{\alpha n u_0(z)}$$

for at least one point $z \in \Sigma$. By hypothesis on the expectation of $|Q|^\alpha$, the size of $\mathscr{F}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Prob}(Q \in \mathscr{F}) \leq 2^\alpha A' n^{A'} c_n e^{-A'^{-1}\alpha\delta n}.$$

Consider now an arbitrary $Q \notin \mathscr{F}$. We have $|Q(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{A'^{-1}n\delta} e^{nu_0(z)}$ for $z \in \Sigma$. By Lemma 2.9, applied to the function $-u_0(z)$ instead of $\phi(z)$, we obtain that

$$|Q(z)| \leq e^{A'^{-1}n\delta} e^{nu_0(z)} \quad \text{for} \quad z \in K.$$

So the logarithmic potential u of the measure μ_Q satisfies

$$u = \frac{1}{n}\log|Q(z)| \leq u_0(z) + A'^{-1}\delta \quad \text{for} \quad z \in K.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have $\mathrm{dist}(\mu_Q, \mu_0) < \delta$ since A' is large enough. Finally, by taking a constant A large enough, we obtain the result. □

Note that we can also apply Propositions 2.4 and 2.7 to $Q \notin \mathscr{F}$ as above.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider $\mathscr{F}$ and $Q \notin \mathscr{F}$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is then enough to apply Corollary 2.5 to the function $u := \frac{1}{n}\log|Q|$ and to $\epsilon := \sqrt{A'^{-1}\delta}$. □

As mentioned in Remark 2.6, we can improve the estimate near the points where the density of μ_0 is small. For simplicity, we consider the case of the semi-circle distribution. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5, assume for simplicity that* $\mu_0 = \mu_{\mathrm{sc}}$, $K = [-2, 2]$, $l = L = \mathbb{R}$ *and* $U = \mathbb{C}$. *Then there is a universal constant* $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\mu\big(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2 + \epsilon^{4/5}, 2 - \epsilon^{4/5}]\big) \leq c\epsilon^{6/5}.$$

Proof. We can assume that ϵ is small. It is enough to show that $\mu((-\infty, -2 + \epsilon^{4/5})) = O(\epsilon^{6/5})$ since we can obtain in the same way that $\mu((2 - \epsilon^{4/5}, +\infty)) = O(\epsilon^{6/5})$. Using Proposition 2.7, we only need to show that $\mu([-3, -2 + \epsilon^{4/5}]) = O(\epsilon^{6/5})$. We will use that the density of μ_{sc} is small near ± 2.

Define $\eta := \epsilon^{4/5}$ and $I := [-3, -2 + \eta]$. As in Proposition 2.4, using a cut-off function in I_η, we obtain that

$$\mu(I) \leq \mu_0(I_\eta) + O(\eta^{-2}) \int_{I_\eta} |u - u_0| d\,\mathrm{Leb}\,.$$

The explicit form of μ_{sc} implies that the first term in the last sum is $O(\eta^{3/2}) = \epsilon^{6/5}$ and as in Lemma 2.3, we obtain that the second term of the last sum is $O(\eta^{-2})\eta\epsilon^2 = \epsilon^{6/5}$. This implies the result. □

3. Local semi-circle law for Hermitian random matrices

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 as a consequence of the abstract results obtained in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $\alpha = 2$, $K = [-2, 2]$ and $\mu_0 = \mu_{\rm sc}$. We will use the notations introduced above. Let $u_{\rm sc}$ denote the logarithmic potential of $\mu_{\rm sc}$. So we need to compare $\mathbb{E}(\det(z - W_n)^2)$ with $e^{2nu_{\rm sc}(z)}$ for $z \in [-2, 2]$, see Proposition 3.9 below.

Consider $\pi(w) = w + 1/w$ which defines bijective conformal maps from the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus [-2, 2]$. We also have $\pi(0) = \pi(\infty) = \infty$ and that π is a 2:1 map from the unit circle to $[-2, 2]$. Define $\hat{u} := u \circ \pi$. The following lemma gives us the explicit values of $u_{\rm sc}$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\hat{u}_{\rm sc}(w) = \frac{1}{4}(w^2 + \overline{w}^2) - \log|w| \quad \textit{for} \quad |w| \le 1$$

and

$$\hat{u}_{\rm sc}(w) = \frac{1}{4}(w^{-2} + \overline{w}^{-2}) + \log|w| \quad \textit{for} \quad |w| \ge 1.$$

In particular, we have

$$u_{\rm sc}(z) = \frac{1}{4}(z^2 - 2) \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in [-2, 2].$$

Proof. The last assertion is a direct consequence of the first one by taking $z = w+1/w$ with $|w| = 1$. The second identity is a consequence of the first one because $\hat{u}_{\rm sc}(w) = \hat{u}_{\rm sc}(1/w)$. We prove now the first identity. Write $z = w + 1/w$ with $|w| \le 1$. Then we have

$$\hat{u}_{\rm sc}(w) = u_{\rm sc}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{[-2,2]} \log|z - a|\sqrt{4 - a^2}da.$$

Write $a = b + 1/b$ with $b = e^{i\vartheta}$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$. Then the last identities imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_{\rm sc}(w) &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \log\big|w + 1/w - e^{i\vartheta} - e^{-i\vartheta}\big| \sin^2\vartheta d\vartheta \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \big(\log|1 - we^{i\vartheta}| + \log|1 - we^{-i\vartheta}| - \log|w|\big)\sin^2\vartheta d\vartheta \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\pi}^\pi \log|1 - we^{i\vartheta}| \sin^2\vartheta d\vartheta - \log|w|.
\end{aligned}$$

We need to show that the first term in the last line is equal to $\frac{1}{4}(w^2 + \overline{w}^2)$. This term is equal to the real part of

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{b\in\mathbb{S}^1} \log(1 - wb)\Big(\frac{b - 1/b}{2i}\Big)^2(-ib^{-1}db) = -\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{b\in\mathbb{S}^1} \log(1 - wb)(b - 2b^{-1} + b^{-3})db,$$

where we consider the principal branch of the complex logarithmic function. Now, the residue formula with a unique pole at 0 gives

$$-\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{b\in\mathbb{S}^1} \log(1 - wb)(b - 2b^{-1} + b^{-3})db = \frac{1}{2}w^2.$$

Taking the real part gives the result. □

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let H_n be the (physicists') Hermite polynomial of degree n. Then, we have*

$$\Big|H_n\big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}z\big)\Big| \le c(2n)^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}e^{n(\frac{1}{4}z^2-\frac{1}{2})} \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $c > 0$ is a universal constant.

Proof. Recall that the Hermite polynomial $H_n(z)$ satisfies

$$H_n(z) = \sum_{0\le k\le n/2} \frac{(-1)^k n!}{k!(n-2k)!}(2z)^{n-2k} = \frac{n!}{2i\pi}\oint \frac{e^{2tz-t^2}}{t^{n+1}}dt,$$

where the integral is taken on any simple loop about the origin. So we have

$$H_n\big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}z\big) = \frac{n!}{2i\pi}\oint \frac{e^{\sqrt{2n}zt-t^2}}{t^{n+1}}dt.$$

By Stirling's approximation, we have for some universal constant $c > 0$

$$(2n)^{-(n+1)/2}\Big|H_n\big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}z\big)\Big| \le c\Big(\frac{n}{2}\Big)^{n/2}e^{-n}\Big|\oint \frac{e^{\sqrt{2n}zt-t^2}}{t^{n+1}}dt\Big| = c\Big|\oint \frac{e^{n(zs-\frac{1}{2}s^2-1)}}{s^{n+1}}ds\Big|,$$

where we used the change of variable $t = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}s$.

Now, consider the last integral on the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ and write $s = e^{i\theta}$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Since z is real, the real part of $zs - \frac{1}{2}s^2 - 1$ is equal to $z\cos\theta - \cos^2\theta - \frac{1}{2}\cdot$ So it is bounded from above by $\frac{1}{4}z^2 - \frac{1}{2}$. The lemma follows. □

We continue the proof of Theorem 1.3. Define

$$P_{M_n}(z) := \det(z - M_n) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{W_n}(z) := \det(z - W_n) = n^{-n/2}P_{M_n}(n^{1/2}z).$$

Recall that we want to bound $\mathbb{E}(|Q_{W_n}(z)|^2)$. We need to introduce some notations.

For any square matrix M, denote by $M[0]$ the matrix obtained from M by replacing the diagonal entries with 0. Define $[\![1, n]\!] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ be any subset of $[\![1, n]\!]$. The integer m is the length of I which will be also denoted by $|I|$. If M is a square $n \times n$ matrix, denote by $M[I]$ the minor obtained from M by deleting the lines and columns of indexes $i \in I$.

Recall that $M_n = (\xi_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$ the group of all permutations of I and define

$$\xi_I := \prod_{1\le k\le m} \xi_{i_k i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_\sigma := \prod_{1\le k\le m} \xi_{i_k \sigma(i_k)} \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(I).$$

Let $\mathrm{Sym}_{\ge k}(I)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sym}_k(I)$) be the sets of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ containing no cycle of length less than k (resp. containing only cycles of length k).

We have the following expansion of determinant

$$P_{M_n[0]}(z) = \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I)} (-1)^{|I|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)}\xi_\sigma z^{n-|I|},$$

where $\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)$ denotes the signature of σ. Note that the above sum is taken over σ and also over the subset I of $[\![1, n]\!]$.

Lemma 3.3. *The expectation of $P_{M_n[0]}(z)$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}\big(P_{M_n[0]}(z)\big) = 2^{-n/2}H_n\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\Big).$$

In particular, there is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that we have

$$\big|\mathbb{E}\big(P_{M_n[0]}(z)\big)\big|^2 \le cn^{n+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. In the expansion of $P_{M_n[0]}(z)$ if a term contains ξ_{ij} but not ξ_{ji}, its expectation vanishes because ξ_{ij} has zero mean. We then deduce that the expectation of $P_{M_n[0]}(z)$ is equal to the one of

$$\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I)} (-1)^{|I|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)}\xi_\sigma z^{n-|I|}.$$

If $|I|$ is odd, the set $\mathrm{Sym}_2(I)$ is empty. So we only need to consider I with even length.

Denote by $2l$ the length of I. Then we have $\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma) = l \bmod 2$ for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I)$. The number of sets I of length $2l$ is $\binom{n}{2l}$. For such a set I, the cardinality of $\mathrm{Sym}_2(I)$ is $(2l-1)!!$. The expectation of ξ_σ is 1 because $\xi_{ij} = \overline{\xi}_{ji}$ and the variance of ξ_{ij} is 1 for $i \ne j$. It follows that the expectation to compute is equal to

$$\sum_{l\le n/2} (-1)^l \binom{n}{2l}(2l-1)!!z^{n-2l} = \sum_{l\le n/2} \frac{(-1)^l n!}{2^l l!(n-2l)!}z^{n-2l} = 2^{-n/2}H_n\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\Big).$$

This gives the first assertion in the lemma. The second one is then deduced from Lemma 3.2 above. □

Consider the expansion

$$|P_{M_n[0]}(z)|^2 = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I)\\ \sigma'\in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I')}} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')}\xi_\sigma\xi_{\sigma'}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|}.$$

We will consider some partial sums. Denote by $R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z)$ the sum of all terms in the last expression with $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I')$ having no common 2-cycle. Denote by $R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z)$ the sum of the terms with $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I')$ having no common 2-cycle and such that if σ contains a cycle ω of length ≥ 3 then σ' contains either ω or ω^{-1} and vice-versa. Denote also by $R^{[2]}_{M_n}(z)$ the sum of the terms with $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\ge 2}(I')$ having no common 2-cycle and finally define $R^{[3]}_{M_n}(z) := |P_{M_n[0]}(z)|^2$ which is the sum of all terms. Note that $R^{[i]}_{M_n}(z)$ is a partial sum of $R^{[i+1]}_{M_n}(z)$.

Lemma 3.4. *There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z))| \le cn^{n+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \quad \textit{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let $\Sigma(I, I')$ denote the set of (σ, σ') in $\mathrm{Sym}_2(I) \times \mathrm{Sym}_2(I')$ such that σ and σ' have no common 2-cycle. From the definition of $R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z)$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z)) = \sum_{(\sigma,\sigma')\in\Sigma(I,I')} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|}.$$

On the other hand, we obtain from the proof of Lemma 3.3 that

$$|\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(z))|^2 = \mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(z))\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(\overline{z})) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I)\\ \sigma'\in \mathrm{Sym}_2(I')}} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|}.$$

In order to deduce the lemma from the estimate in Lemma 3.3, we need to study the contribution of σ and σ' when they have common 2-cycles.

Consider a subset K of $[\![1,n]\!]$ of length $2k$ and two subsets J, J' of $[\![1,n]\!] \setminus K$. Define $I = K \cup J$ and $I' = K \cup J'$. Consider also $\sigma_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(K)$, $\sigma_1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(J)$, $\sigma_1' \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(J')$. Define $\sigma := \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1$, $\sigma' := \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1'$ and consider the sum

$$T_{K,\sigma_0} := \sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_1',J,J'} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')} z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|}.$$

This expression represents the sum of terms in $|\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(z))|^2$ such that σ and σ' have k common 2-cycles supported by K which constitute σ_0. Note that they may have other common cycles supported by $[\![1,n]\!] \setminus K$. Using the expansion of $|\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[K][0]}(z))|^2$, we see that

$$T_{K,\sigma_0} = |\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[K][0]}(z))|^2.$$

Consider now the sum

$$S_k := \sum_{|K|=2k} \sum_{\sigma_0,\sigma_1,\sigma_1',J,J'} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')} z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|} = \sum_{|K|=2k,\sigma_0} |\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[K][0]}(z))|^2.$$

This is a combination of terms in $|\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(z))|^2$ such that σ and σ' have at least k common 2-cycles. If for such a term, σ and σ' have exactly l common 2-cycles, with $l \geq k$, then the term appears in the last double sum $\binom{l}{k}$ times because this is the number of (K, σ_0) for which the considered term appears in T_{K,σ_0}.

Note that $S_0 = |\mathbb{E}(P_{M_n[0]}(z))|^2$ and $\mathbb{E}(R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z))$ can be written as a linear combination of S_k. Namely, we deduce from the above discussion and the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \binom{l}{k} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 1$$

that

$$\mathbb{E}(R^{[0]}_{M_n}(z)) = \sum_{0\leq k\leq n/2} (-1)^k S_k.$$

The number of choices for K of length $2k$ is $\binom{n}{2k}$. For each K, the number of choices for σ_0 is $(2k-1)!!$. By Lemma 3.3 applied to $M_n[K][0]$ and Stirling's approximation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_k| &\leq \binom{n}{2k}(2k-1)!!c(n-2k)^{n-2k+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+2k} \\
&= \frac{cn!(2k-1)!!(n-2k)^{n-2k+1}e^{2k}}{(2k)!(n-2k)!n^{n+1}} n^{n+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \\
&= \frac{cn!(n-2k)^{n-2k+1}e^{2k}}{2^k k!(n-2k)!n^{n+1}} n^{n+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \\
&\leq \frac{c'}{2^k k!} n^{n+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n},
\end{aligned}$$

for some universal constant $c' > 0$. The lemma follows easily. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let l be any integer with $1 \leq l \leq n$. Then the number of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}([\![1,n]\!])$ which contain exactly l cycles is less than or equal to $2nn!2^{-l}$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction in n. The case $n = 1$ is clear. Suppose the lemma holds for $1, \ldots, n-1$. We prove it for n. The case $l = 1$ is clear because the cardinality of $\mathrm{Sym}([\![1,n]\!])$ is $n!$. We assume that $l \geq 2$. Consider σ as in the lemma. So 1

belongs to a cycle ω in σ. Denote by m the length of this cycle. We have $m \leq n-1$. The number of choices of ω is the number of cycles of length m containing 1. So it is equal to $(n-1)\dots(n-m+1)$ when m is fixed.

Consider such an ω and denote by K its support. Observe that σ is the composition of ω with some $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}([\![1,n]\!] \setminus K)$ which has exactly $l-1$ cycles. By induction hypothesis, the number of choices for σ' is at most $2(n-m)(n-m)!2^{-l+1}$ when ω is fixed. It follows that the number of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}([\![1,n]\!])$ which contain exactly l cycles is at most

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}(n-1)\dots(n-m+1)2(n-m)(n-m)!2^{-l+1} = 2nn!2^{-l}\frac{2}{n^2}\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}(n-m) \leq 2nn!2^{-l}.$$

The lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.6. *There is a universal constant $c>0$ such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq cn^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \quad \textit{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Consider $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I')$ having no common 2-cycle such that if ω is a cycle in σ of length ≥ 3 then either ω or ω^{-1} is a cycle of σ' and vice versa. Let l be the number of these cycles and K the union of their supports. Define $J := I \setminus K$ and $J' := I' \setminus K$. We can write $\sigma = \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1$ and $\sigma' := \sigma'_0 \circ \sigma'_1$ with $\sigma_0, \sigma'_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 3}(K)$, $\sigma_1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(J)$ and $\sigma'_1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(J')$ such that if ω is a cycle of σ_0 then either ω or ω^{-1} is a cycle of σ'_0 and vice versa.

Using that $\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma_0) = \mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma'_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z) &= \sum (-1)^{|J|+|J'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma_1)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma'_1)}\xi_{\sigma_0}\xi_{\sigma'_0}\xi_{\sigma_1}\xi_{\sigma'_1}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|} \\ &= \sum_{K,\sigma_0,\sigma'_0} \xi_{\sigma_0}\xi_{\sigma'_0}R^{[0]}_{M_n[K]}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $|\mathbb{E}(\xi_{ij}^2)| \leq \mathbb{E}(|\xi_{ij}|^2) = 1$ and hence $|\mathbb{E}(\xi_{\sigma_0}\xi_{\sigma'_0})| \leq 1$. We then deduce from Lemma 3.4, applied to $R^{[0]}_{M_n[K]}$, that

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq \sum_{K,\sigma_0,\sigma'_0} c(n-k)^{n-k+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+k},$$

where we denote by k the length of K.

When K and σ_0 are fixed and l is the number of cycles in σ_0, there are 2^l choices for σ'_0. When K and l are fixed, by Lemma 3.5 applied to k instead of n, the number of choices for σ_0 is not more than $2kk!2^{-l}$. Finally, the number of choices for K with length k is $\binom{n}{k}$. We then deduce from the last estimate on $|\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))|$ that

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq \sum_{0\leq l\leq k\leq n}\binom{n}{k}2kk!2^{-l}2^l c(n-k)^{n-k+1}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+k}.$$

Using Stirling's approximation, we obtain

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq 2c\sum_{0\leq l\leq k\leq n}\frac{n!k(n-k)^{n-k+1}e^k}{(n-k)!}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \leq c'n^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n},$$

for some universal constant $c'>0$. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.7. *There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[2]}_{M_n}(z))| = |\mathbb{E}(R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq cn^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \quad \textit{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. The inequality was obtained in the last lemma. So we only need to prove the equality. Observe that

$$R^{[2]}_{M_n}(z) - R^{[1]}_{M_n}(z) = \sum (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')}\xi_\sigma\xi_{\sigma'}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|},$$

where we only consider $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I)$ and $\sigma' \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I')$ such that σ contains a cycle ω of length ≥ 3 but σ' doesn't contain ω nor ω', or vice versa.

We can represent σ, σ' as two oriented graphs with vertices $1, 2, \ldots, n$. Each of these graphs is composed by disjoint cycles. The above condition on $\sigma, \sigma', \omega, \omega'$ implies that some edge belongs to one graph but not to the other regardless the orientation. Moreover, this edge belongs to a cycle of length ≥ 3. We conclude that $\xi_\sigma\xi_{\sigma'}$ contains a factor ξ_{ij} but not ξ^2_{ij} nor $\xi_{ij}\xi_{ji}$. Thus, the expectation of $\xi_\sigma\xi_{\sigma'}$ vanishes. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\beta > 0$ be a number such that $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_{ij}|^4) \leq \beta$ for $i \neq j$. Then there is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}(R^{[3]}_{M_n}(z))| \leq cn^{n+4}e^{\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n} \quad \textit{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$R^{[3]}_{M_n}(z) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I)\\ \sigma'\in\mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(I')}} (-1)^{|I|+|I'|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma')}\xi_\sigma\xi_{\sigma'}z^{n-|I|}\overline{z}^{n-|I'|}.$$

Let K denote the union of the supports of common 2-cycles in σ and σ'. Denote by $2k$ its length. So we can write $J := I \setminus K$, $J' := I' \setminus K$, $\sigma = \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_1$ and $\sigma' = \sigma_0 \circ \sigma'_1$ for some $\sigma_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}_2(K)$, $\sigma_1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(J)$ and $\sigma'_1 \in \mathrm{Sym}_{\geq 2}(J')$. We deduce that

$$R^{[3]}_{M_n}(z) = \sum_{K,\sigma_0} \xi^2_{\sigma_0} R^{[2]}_{M_n[K]}(z).$$

By definition of β, we have $\mathbb{E}(\xi^2_{\sigma_0}) \leq \beta^k$. The number of sets K of cardinality $2k$ is $\binom{n}{2k}$ and when K is fixed the number of choices for σ_0 is $(2k-1)!!$. Applying Lemma 3.7 to $M_n[K]$ instead of M_n, together with Stirling's approximation, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}(R^{[3]}_{M_n}(z))| &\leq \sum_{k\leq n/2} \binom{n}{2k}(2k-1)!!\beta^k c(n-2k)^{n-2k+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+2k}\\
&\leq cn^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n}\sum_{k\leq n/2}\frac{n!\beta^k(n-2k)^{n-2k+4}e^{2k}}{2^k k!(n-2k)!n^{n+4}}\\
&\leq c'n^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n}\sum_{k\leq n/2}\frac{\beta^k}{2^k k!} \;\leq\; c'e^{\beta/2}n^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c' > 0$ is a universal constant. The lemma follows. □

The following property is the main estimate in this section.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ be two numbers such that $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_{ii}|^2) \leq \alpha$ and $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_{ij}|^4) \leq \beta$ for $i \neq j$. There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}(|Q_{W_n}(z)|^2) \leq cn^4e^{\alpha+\beta/2}e^{n(\frac{1}{2}z^2-1)}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{E}(|P_{M_n}(z)|^2) \leq cn^{n+4}e^{\alpha+\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n}.$$

Lemma 3.8 says that a similar inequality holds for $M_n[0]$ instead of M_n.

We have the following expansion where the sum is taken over σ and the subset I of $[\![1,n]\!]$

$$P_{M_n}(z) = \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}(I)} (-1)^{|I|+\mathrm{sign}\,(\sigma)}\xi_\sigma z^{n-|I|}.$$

Let K denote the set of fixed points of σ and define $J := I \setminus K$. Then σ can be identified with a permutation σ' of J and $\xi_\sigma = \xi_K\xi_{\sigma'}$. It follows that

$$P_{M_n}(z) = \sum_K (-1)^{|K|}\xi_K P_{M_n[K][0]}(z).$$

We deduce that

$$|P_{M_n}(z)|^2 = P_{M_n}(z)P_{M_n}(\overline{z}) = \sum_{K,K'} (-1)^{|K|+|K'|}\xi_K\xi_{K'}P_{M_n[K][0]}(z)P_{M_n[K'][0]}(\overline{z}).$$

Observe that when $K \neq K'$ the product $\xi_K\xi_{K'}$ contains a factor ξ_{ii} but not ξ_{ii}^2. So the expectation of $\xi_K\xi_{K'}$ vanishes in this case. We only need to consider the case where $K = K'$. Observe that $|\mathbb{E}(\xi_K^2)| \leq \alpha^{|K|}$. Lemma 3.8 applied to $M_n[K]$ instead of M_n gives

$$\mathbb{E}(|P_{M_n}(z)|^2) \leq \sum_K \alpha^{|K|}c(n-|K|)^{n-|K|+4}e^{\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+|K|}.$$

Finally, the number of subsets K of cardinality k is $\binom{n}{k}$. So we obtain from the last line and Stirling's approximation that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|P_{M_n}(z)|^2) &\leq c\sum_{k\leq n}\binom{n}{k}\alpha^k(n-k)^{n-k+4}e^{\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n+k} \\
&\leq cn^{n+4}e^{\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n}\sum_{k\leq n}\frac{n!\alpha^k(n-k)^{n-k+4}e^k}{k!(n-k)!n^{n+4}} \\
&\leq c'n^{n+4}e^{\beta/2}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n}\sum_{k\leq n}\frac{\alpha^k}{k!} \;\leq\; c'e^{\alpha+\beta/2}n^{n+4}e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2-n},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c' > 0$ is a universal constant. The proposition follows. □

End of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider the natural map Π from the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices to the space of polynomials of degree n which associates each matrix with its characteristic polynomial. Consider the natural probability measure on the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices induced by the probabilities given for their entries. Consider also the direct image of this probability measure by Π, which is a probability measure on $\mathscr{P}_0^n$. By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.9, we only need to apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $\alpha = 2$, $c_n = cn^4e^{\alpha+\beta/2}$, $K = [-2,2]$ and $l = L = \mathbb{R}$. □

Note that we can apply the last assertion of Proposition 2.4, Propositions 2.7 and 2.10 in order to get better estimates when the interval I is outside the interval $[-2,2]$ or near the endpoints ± 2.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Consider the matrix

$$W'_n := W_n - \frac{p}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} J_n + \frac{p}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} I_n,$$

where J_n is the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and I_n is the identity $n \times n$ matrix. The entries of $M'_n := \sqrt{n} W'_n$ satisfy the properties (1) and (2) in the introduction with

$$\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{p^3 + (1-p)^3}{p(1-p)} \leq \frac{2}{p} = o(n).$$

The spectrum of W'_n can be obtained by translate the spectrum of $W_n - \frac{p}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} J_n$ by $\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{1-p}\sqrt{n}}$. Moreover, the number of eigenvalues of the last matrix in any interval differs from the one of the matrix W_n by 0 or ± 1, see [23]. Therefore, in order to prove the corollary, we can replace μ_{W_n} by $\mu_{W'_n}$. Now applying Theorem 1.3 to W'_n. The probability to have a graph which does not satisfies the estimate in Corollary 1.4 for some interval I is smaller or equal to $An^A e^{1/p} e^{-A^{-1}\delta n}$ for some universal constant $A > 0$. The result follows. □

REFERENCES

[1] Anderson G. W., Guionnet A., Zeitouni O., *An introduction to random matrices. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **118**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[2] Bai Z. D., Silverstein J. W., *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices.* Second edition. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York, 2010.

[3] Cacciapuoti C., Maltsev A., Schlein B., Bounds for the Stieltjes transform and the density of states of Wigner matrices. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **163** (2015), no. 1-2, 1-59.

[4] Dinh T.-C., Nguyen V.-A., Large deviations principle for some beta-ensembles. *Preprint* (2016). `arXiv:1603.03643`

[5] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N., Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings. *Holomorphic dynamical systems,* 165-294, Lecture Notes in Math., **1998**. *Springer, Berlin,* 2010.

[6] Erdös L., Knowles A., Yau H.-T., Yin J., Spectral statistics of Erdös-Rényi graphs I: Local semicircle law. *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2013), no. 3B, 2279-2375.

[7] Erdös L., Knowles A., Yau H.-T., Yin J., The local semicircle law for a general class of random matrices. *Electron. J. Probab.* **18** (2013), no. 59, 58 pp.

[8] Erdös L., Péché S., Ramírez J. A., Schlein B., Yau H.-T., Bulk universality for Wigner matrices. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), no. 7, 895-925.

[9] Erdös L., Ramírez J., Schlein B., Tao T., Vu V., Yau H.-T., Bulk universality for Wigner hermitian matrices with subexponential decay. *Math. Res. Lett.* **17** (2010), no. 4, 667-674.

[10] Erdös L., Schlein B., Yau H.-T., Semicircle law on short scales and delocalization of eigenvectors for Wigner random matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **37** (2009), no. 3, 815-852.

[11] Erdös L., Schlein B., Yau H.-T., Wegner estimate and level repulsion for Wigner random matrices. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2010), no. 3, 436-479.

[12] Erdös L., Yau H.-T., Yin J., Rigidity of eigenvalues of generalized Wigner matrices. *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012), no. 3, 1435-1515.

[13] Guionnet A., Zeitouni O., Concentration of the spectral measure for large matrices. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **5** (2000), 119-136.

[14] Hörmander L., *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*. Third edition, North-Holland Mathematical Library, **7**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.

[15] Pastur L. A., Spectra of random selfadjoint operators. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **28** (1973), no. 1 (169), 3-64.

[16] Pommerenke Ch., *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **299**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[17] Schaeffer A. C., Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47** (1941). 565-579.

[18] Tao T., Vu V., Random matrices: universality of local eigenvalue statistics. *Acta Math.* **206** (2011), no. 1, 127-204.
[19] Tao T., Vu V., Random covariance matrices: universality of local statistics of eigenvalues. *Ann. Probab.* **40** (2012), no. 3, 1285-1315.
[20] Tao T., Vu V., Random matrices: sharp concentration of eigenvalues. *Random Matrices Theory Appl.* **2** (2013), no. 3, 1350007, 31 pp.
[21] Tao T., Vu V., Random matrices: the four-moment theorem for Wigner ensembles. Random matrix theory, interacting particle systems, and integrable systems, 509-528, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **65**, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2014.
[22] Tao T., Vu V., Random matrices: the universality phenomenon for Wigner ensembles. Modern aspects of random matrix theory, 121-172, *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, **72**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
[23] Tran L.V., Vu V., Wang K., Sparse random graphs: eigenvalues and eigenvectors. *Random Structures Algorithms* **42** (2013), no. 1, 110-134.
[24] Tsuji M., *Potential theory in modern function theory.* Reprinting of the 1959 original. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1975.
[25] Wigner E. P., On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **67** (1958), 325-327.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076.
E-mail address: matdtc@nus.edu.sg